



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/935,891	08/23/2001	Nobuo Sasaki	SCEI 3.0-081	1355
530	7590	04/26/2005	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			RAHMJOO, MANUCHER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2676	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/935,891

Applicant(s)

SASAKI ET AL.

Examiner

Mike Rahmjoo

Art Unit

2676

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21,33,34,36,37 and 39-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21,33,34,36,37 and 39-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 21, 33- 34, 36- 37, and 39- 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naoi et al, US Patent 6,683,617, hereinafter, Naoi in view of Marugame 5,995,649.

As per claims 1, 7, 13, 19- 20, 33- 34, and 36- 37 Naoi teaches determining that a given line part of an object depicted in a three dimensional image is a visually important line part and for extracting data representing the visually important line part or visually important portion from data representing the three dimensional image see for example block 32 (subline segmenting unit 32) of fig 1 and column 6 lines 4- 9 and lines 24- 30; and an image rendering means for rendering the three dimensional image wherein the visually important line part includes a contour line part or a candidate of the depicted object see for example unit 4 of fig 1 and figure 3 for a candidate of the depicted object ; and antialiasing means for antialiasing only the extracted data to form an antialiased image portion associated with the visually important line part see for example the abstract and column 11 lines 40- 50; and overwriting means for

overwriting only the antialiased image portion onto a corresponding portion of the rendered image see for example figure 7 block 105; and inherently teaches a computer readable storage medium (memory and HD) for storing a computer program for operating an apparatus to perform an image rendering method and distribution means (FD or CD) for distributing the computer program stored on the computer readable storage medium see for example the image processing apparatus of claim 1.

However, Naoi does not teach extracting means.

Marugame teaches extracting means see for example figure 4 and column 19 lines 49- 65 through column 20 lines 1- 7 for the reference point (corresponding to the visually important line part see for example column 19 lines 55- 60) extraction portion 40.

It would have been made obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Marugame into Naoi to extract an object image or part therefrom from input images so as to perform further processing on the extracted object image or part therefrom and therefore enhance the performance of the device see for example column 3 lines 1- 65.

As per claims 3,9, and 15 Naoi teaches said image rendering means renders the three-dimensional image using polygon data that represents the three-dimensional image see for example unit 4 of figure 1, and said extracting means extracts the data representing the visually important line part by extracting corresponding data from the

Art Unit: 2676

polygon data being selected from the group consisting of line data, curve data and line strip data see for example block 32 of figure 1.

As per claims 4,10, and 16 Naoi teaches the visually important line part passes through a plurality of pixels (see for example figures 2- 6 through the passage of sublines 1- 4 through the subpixels), and said antialiasing means generates pixel values for each of the plurality of pixels as a function of an occupancy value of that pixel (see for example column 6 lines 46- 51 which shows pixel values as a function of subpixels), the occupancy value of a respective pixel being based on a ratio of an area of an occupied portion of the pixel to an area of the pixel (see for example figures 6a- c with each having a certain ratio with associated percentage of occupancy), the area of the occupied portion of the pixel (see for example column 7 lines 18- 31) being based on an area occupied by a portion of the visually important line part that passes through the pixel when the visually important line part is a straight line or being based on an area occupied by an ideal straight line segment which approximates the portion of the visually important line part when the visually important line part is curved.

As per claims 5,11, and 17 Naoi teaches the portion of the visually important line part or the ideal straight-line segment forms an angle with an X-axis, and said antialiasing means antialiases a range of pixels along the X-axis when the angle is equal to or larger than a predetermined value; and antialiases a range of pixels, along a Y axis that is orthogonal to the X-axis when the angle is smaller than the predetermined value see for example figures 2- 6 and also polygon 2 regions A and B of figure 3 which

has representations of X and Y coordinates through each subpixel further making corresponding angles with said axis.

As per claims 6, 12, and 18 Naoi teaches each of the plurality of pixels is divided into a matrix of sub-pixels, and said antialiasing means determines the area of the occupied portion of the pixel in units of sub-pixel- areas see for example figures 2- 6.

As per claims 2, 8, 14, and 21 Marugame teaches the visually important line part includes a contour line of the depicted object or a contour candidate of the depicted object see for example column 19 lines 49- 67 through column 20 lines 1- 7.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1- 21, 33- 34, 36- 37, and 39- 41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2676

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7789. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30- 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272- 7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872- 9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-4357.

Mike Rahmjoo

April 20, 2005



**MATTHEW C. BELLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**